Asbestos Survey for

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

at

The Horse and Groom
Wood Green
Fordingbridge
Hampshire
SP6 2AS



Project Number: AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Printed: 09/10/2007 By: JTEC Environmental Ltd.. Using Multibase software.



Names and Addresses

Fax:

Fax:

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

The Brewery

Blandford St Mary

Dorset

DT11 9LS

Contact:

Phone: 01258 452141

Instructing Party:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

The Brewery

Blandford St Mary

Dorset

DT11 9LS

Contact:

Phone: 01258 452141 Fax:

Site Full Name:

The Horse and Groom

Wood Green

Fordingbridge

Hampshire

SP6 2AS

Contact:

Phone:

Report Author:

JTEC Environmental Ltd.

Tansley Cottage, Shave Lane,

Todber,

Sturminster Newton,

Dorset.

DT10 1JA

Contact: John Chilvers

Surveyor

Phone: 01258 821398 Fax: 01258 821398

JTEC Environmental Ltd.

Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groon
Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Printed On:	09 October 2007
Page:	Page 1 of 1






TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	1	Site Description
SECTION	2	Survey Drawings
SECTION	3	Survey Objectives
SECTION	4	Survey Techniques
SECTION	5	Survey Caveat
SECTION	6	Survey Notes
SECTION	7	Survey Summary
SECTION	8	Survey Recommendations
SECTION	9	Material Assessment: Summary by Area
SECTION	10	Material Assessment (Photo)
SECTION	11	Bulk Identification Report
SECTION	12	Priority Assessment: Summary by Area
SECTION	13	Priority Assessment (Photo)
SECTION	14	Excluded Areas



SECTION ONE

SITE DESCRIPTION

Site Description

General Information:

This building is several hundred years old and is of brick construction with a pitched roof.

There is evidence of some refurbishment work and alterations which were carried out to leave the building as it stands today.

There is little evidence of ACM's (asbestos containing materials) being present excepting the undercloaking on the garage.

October 2007 Update.

The kitchen area is planned to be extended and a corresponding type 3 survey was carried out in this area comprising the roof and loft space over the kitchen and internally. No ACMs were discovered in any of the samples taken. The internal walls are either solid or plasterboard.

Area	Comments	Accessed
9	Samples taken & presumed asbestos materials present, no asbestos materials present in samples.	Yes
S	Samples taken & presumed asbestos materials present, asbestos materials present in samples.	Yes

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom. Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Site Description:	Page 1 of 1



SECTION TWO


SURVEY DRAWINGS



Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse &

Survey Drawings and Documentation



Description of Drawing:


Sample locations (October 2004).



Survey Drawings and Documentation

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse &





SECTION THREE

SURVEY OBJECTIVES

Survey Objectives

- Produce a report, in a database format, indicating areas containing identified and suspected asbestos based materials, including photographic records of asbestos occurences where possible.
- 2 To carry out a survey to ascertain the presence of asbestos based materials.
- 3 To include a risk assessment for each individual Sample.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Survey Objectives:	Page 1 of 1



SECTION FOUR

SURVEY TECHNIQUE

Survey Technique

- 1 Materials of a similar type were only occasionally sampled and it was assumed that other surfaces identical to where the sample was taken, was of a similar composition.
- 2 Photographs were taken at all of the sample locations (unless otherwise stated).
- 3 Samples were returned to the Main Laboratory for analysis.
- Asbestos Bulk Sample Analysis is conducted by using Polarised Light and Dispersion Staining Techniques. Dispersion Staining is used to describe the colour effects produced when a transparent colourless particle or fibre is immersed in a liquid having a refractive index near to that of the particle or fibre, and is viewed under a microscope using transmitted white light (based on HSE Publication MDHS 77).

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Survey Technique:	Page 1 of 1



SECTION FIVE

SURVEY CAVEAT

Survey Caveat

This report is based upon a non-destructive inspection of an unfamiliar site. During the course of the survey all reasonable efforts were made to identify the physical presence of materials containing asbestos within the areas of the building which are subject to future refurbishment works. It is known that asbestos materials are frequently concealed within the fabric of buildings or within sealed building voids so that it is not possible to regard the findings of any survey as being definitive. It must always remain a possibility that further asbestos containing materials may be found during refurbishment or demolition activities. For reasons set out in this report, the results cannot give an assurance that all asbestos materials have been found and must not be thought to do so. The nature of the survey was a non-destructive inspection at key locations of accessible voids and areas. From the evidence of the inspections and of the sampling and analysis undertaken, it is clear that asbestos containing materials are either present or within or associated with various areas as detailed in the report. We recommend that samples be taken of suspect materials which may be uncovered within the listed areas or within the areas of the site which were not included in this survey.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Survey Caveat:	Page 1 of 1



SECTION SIX

SURVEY NOTES

Survey Notes

- Whilst every effort was made to locate the ceiling panels, wall partitions and other panels, which may have been constructed from asbestos boarding, none other than those detailed were found. Some may have been missed due to repairs, alterations etc, where false and other finishes have been applied or where different specifications (including a possible mixture of asbestos and non-asbestos) panels have been used in the same area. Only by sampling each panel would the composition of all the materials be known. This was clearly not practical in terms of cost or time.
- 2 No air monitoring was carried out whilst the survey was undertaken and therefore care was taken not to cause disturbance of fibre or contamination of clean surfaces.
- This report has been written with reference to the various Guidance Notes etc, issued, and current at the date of this report and describes circumstances at the site on the date the investigation took place.
- Where similar items exist in the building, only one or two samples have been taken to ascertain the material content. It was assumed that similar products were of the same material. Only random sampling was carried out.
- Any person undertaking work within the buildings should be told of the presence of asbestos. This briefing also applies to any other person associated with the site, including staff, sub-contractors and others.
- The diagrams in the report are not to scale and are illustrative only to indicate approximate locations. The descriptions used are for location identification purposes
- All the recommendations described in this report are based upon assumptions made after consideration of the type of material, condition of the material, its location, analysis result and type of use the area is thought to be subjected to. However, statutory authorities or others, could require amendments based on local knowledge, change in legislation, change in use or indeed, other conditions of criteria.
- 8 Equipment, machinery, ducting etc were not moved, opened up or examined for the purpose of this investigation except in the odd occasion where hatches were available.
- It should be presumed that any firedoors contain asbestos unless otherwise indicated. It should also be presumed that structural firebreaks exist in the vicinity of a firedoor which are also likely to contain asbestos unless otherwise indicated.
 - In the event of damage or exposure of fireproof material within any fire door, separate samples should be taken and identified accordingly.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Survey Notes:	Page 1 of 1



SECTION SEVEN

SURVEY SUMMARY

Survey Summary

- 1 For positive identification of asbestos bearing materials please refer to the individual sample data sheets.
- Due to the nature of usage of the buildings surveyed, sampling was restricted / limited in certain areas for both health and safety reasons and food hygiene reasons. Consequently where items are reported as suspected asbestos materials, these items should be treated as such until otherwise identified.
- All sample reference numbers and photograph reference numbers have been annotated on the accompanying plans, whether asbestos materials or not. This is for clarification where non-asbestos materials may be difficult to identify. However it must be stressed that if during refurbishment / maintenance work a suspect material is identified which is not included in this register, disturbance should be stopped prior to identification.
- This register has been compiled so as to allow information to be updated with relative ease. Any work involving the removal of asbestos materials identified within this register should be recorded and the information updated accordingly.
- Although work with asbestos cement does not necessarily require a licensed contractor it is recommended that all work involving the disturbance of any asbestos material is undertaken using contractors licensed by the HSE. Although asbestos cement is a relatively low risk material, it must not be interfered with in any way; e.g. cutting, drilling etc. as this may lead to raised airborne fibre levels. Where possible / practicable asbestos cement materials should be labelled appropriately. If asbestos cement materials are damaged or in areas where abrasion is likely, they should be encapsulated or removed.
- All Asbestos Insulation Board should be encapsulated and labelled. Where insulation board is likely to sustain damage it should be removed. All work involving the disturbance of Asbestos insulation board must be undertaken by a licensed contractor, this includes drilling, cutting, encapsulating etc. Where debris has been identified, access to the area should be restricted prior to decontamination or removal.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Survey Summary:	Page 1 of 1





SECTION EIGHT

SURVEY RECOMMENDATIONS

Survey Recommendations

1 Material Assessment and Algorithm

The material assessment is an assessment of the condition of the ACM, or the presumed ACM, and the likelihood of it releasing fibres in the event of it being disturbed in some way. This material assessment will give a good initial guide to the priority for management, as it will identify the materials, which will most readily release airborne fibres if disturbed. However, there are other factors to take into account when prioritising action.

MDHS100 recommends the use of an algorithm to carry out the material assessment, and contains an example. The algorithm is a numerical way of taking into account several influencing factors, giving each factor considered a score. These scores can then be totaled to give a material assessment score. The use of algorithms is not infallible, but the assessment process is clear for all to see, so if discrepancies arise, it should be possible to track back through the assessment process to find the root of the error. The algorithm shown in MDHS100 considers four parameters that determine the risk from ACM: that is the ability to release fibres if disturbed. These four parameters are:

Product type; Extent of damage; Surface treatment; and Asbestos type

Each of the parameters is scored and added to give a total score between 2 and 12:

Materials with scores of 10 or more should be regarded as high risk with a significant potential to release fibres if disturbed;

Those with a score between 7 and 9 are regarded as medium risk;

Materials with a score between 5 and 6 are low risk; and

Scores of 4 or less are very low risk.

PRIORITY ASSESSMENT AND ALGORITHM

The material assessment identifies the high-risk materials, that is, those which will most readily release airborne fibres if disturbed. It does not automatically follow that those materials assigned the highest score in the material assessment will be the materials that should be given priority for remedial action. Management priority must be determined by carrying out a risk assessment which will also take into account factors such as:

Maintenance activity; Occupant activity; Likelihood of disturbance; Human exposure potential.

THE RISK ASSESSMENT INCLUDES A MATERIAL ASSESSMENT AND A PRIORITY ASSESSMENT.

THE MATERIAL ASSESSMENT LOOKS AT THE TYPE AND CONDITION OF THE ACM AND THE EASE WITH WHICH IT WILL RELEASE FIBRES IF DISTURBED.

THE PRIORITY ASSESSMENT LOOKS AT THE LIKELIHOOD OF SOMEONE DISTURBING THE ACM.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Recommendation:	Page 1 of 3



Survey Recommendations

The risk assessment can only be carried out with detailed knowledge of all the above. Although a surveyor may have some of the information which will contribute to the risk assessment and may be part of an assessment team, you, as the duty holder under CAW, are required to make the risk assessment, using the information given in the survey report and your detailed knowledge of the activities carried out within your premises. The risk assessment will form the basis of the management plan, so it is important that it is accurate.

MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY

The first and most important factor which must be taken into consideration is the level of maintenance activity likely to be taking place in an area. Maintenance trades such as plumbers and electricians are the group who the duty to manage is primarily trying to protect. There are two types of maintenance activity, planned and unplanned. Planned work can be assessed and carried out using procedures and controls to reduce exposure to asbestos. Unplanned work requires the situation to be dealt with as found and the controls that can be applied may be more limited. The frequency of maintenance activities also need to be taken into account in deciding what management action is appropriate.

OCCUPANT ACTIVITY

The activities carried out in an area will have an impact on the risk assessment. When carrying out a risk assessment the main type of use of an area and the activities taking place within it should be taken into account. For example a little used storeroom or an attic will rarely be accessed and so any asbestos is unlikely to be disturbed. At the other end of the scale, in a warehouse lined with asbestos insulating board panels, with frequent vehicular movements, the potential for disturbance of ACMs is reasonably high and this would be a significant factor in the risk assessment. As well as the normal everyday activities taking place in an area, any secondary activities will need to be taken into account.

LIKELIHOOD OF DISTURBANCE

The two factors that will determine the likelihood of disturbance are the extent or amount of the ACM and its accessibility/vulnerability. For example, asbestos soffits outdoors are generally inaccessible without the use of ladders or scaffolding, are unlikely to be disturbed. The asbestos cement roof of a hospital ward is also unlikely to be disturbed, but its extent would need to be taken into account in any risk assessment. However if the same ward had asbestos panels on the walls they would be much more likely to be disturbed by trolley/bed movements.

HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL

The human exposure potential depends on three factors: the number of occupants of an area, the frequency of use of the area, and the average time each area is in use. For example, a school boiler room is likely to be unoccupied, but may be visited daily for a few minutes. The potential for exposure is much less than say in a classroom lined with asbestos insulating board panelling, which is occupied daily for six hours by 30 pupils and a teacher.

PRIORITY ASSESSMENT ALGORITHMS

Taking all these factors into account in a logical, consistent manner is difficult. Using an algorithm will help you to produce priority assessments that have taken the factors into account in a consistent way. The number of factors relevant at any one site needs to be carefully considered, as the more factors included in an algorithm, the lower the influence of the most important risk factors becomes, and this may produce anomalies. For this reason it is recommended that the number of factors that are scored is limited to four, the same as the number of factors in the material assessment. There is no single set of factors that can be recommended that will apply equally to all types of premises. Therefore four general headings have been

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Recommendation:	Page 2 of 3



Survey Recommendations

used and one or more factors can be taken into account and averaged under each heading to suit the circumstances. If you choose to use more than one factor under a general heading, then average the scores under that heading, rounding up where necessary.

The scores from the material assessment (i.e. the condition of the ACM or presumed ACM) are added to the scores of the priority assessment (the likelihood of disturbance), to give the overall risk assessment. Risk assessment scores for different ACMs can then be compared to develop your action plan. In many circumstances the scores will be similar, making decisions more difficult. For example a boiler house with asbestos pipe work insulation in poor condition may get the same or similar risk assessment score to an office with asbestos insulating board in reasonably good condition. This is simply because the ACM in the boiler house received a higher score than the ACM in the office because the ACM in the boiler house was in poor condition. However, the priority assessment for the office will get a higher score than the boiler house since the office is occupied more often. Add the scores together for the material and priority assessments, and you get similar scores. If this is the case then you may decide that the office needs doing first because it is used daily. On the other hand you may decide that the poor condition of the ACM in the boiler house means that it should be done first. If the office was a classroom, the young age of the occupants may be a deciding factor. Algorithms are provided to help you, but they are best guesses and will often require you to make your own additional judgements.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,	Printed On:	09 October 2007
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Recommendation:	Page 3 of 3





SECTION NINE

MATERIAL ASSESSMENT: SUMMARY BY AREA

Material Assessment: Summary by Area

Not Applicable

Area:

Site Name:

The Horse and Groom

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Sample Date	Location Ref	Location ID	Drawing Reference	Floor	Room	Asbestos Type	Product Name	Material Risk Score	Material Risk Band	Priority Risk Score	Comments	Action	Survey Type
04/10/07	10	8615	10	External ground floor	Kitchen	NADIS	Roofing felt	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	Т3
04/10/07	11	8616	11	External ground floor	Kitchen	NADIS	Roof Tiles	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	Т3
19/10/04	7	1676	7	External ground floor	Sheds / Outbuildings	Chrysotile	Roofing felt	2	Very Low Risk	2		No Action Required	T 2
19/10/04	1	1670	1	Ground floor	Bar area	Amosite	Beam cladding	5	Low Risk	5		Apply Warning Labels	T 2
19/10/04	2	1671	2	Ground floor	Bar area	NADIS	Stair Backing / Cladding	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	T 2
19/10/04	3	1672	3	Ground floor	Electrical cupboard	Chrysotile	Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard	3	Very Low Risk	3		Apply Warning Labels	T 2
19/10/04	4	1673	4	Ground floor	Kitchen	Chrysotile	Boiler	3	Very Low Risk	4		Apply Warning Labels	T 2
04/10/07	5	1674	5	Ground floor	Kitchen	NADIS	Heat Shield	0	NADIS	4		No Action Required	Т3
19/10/04	8	1677	8	Roof level	External	Chrysotile	Soffit	3	Very Low Risk	1		No Action Required	T 2
19/10/04	9	1678	9	Roof level	Garage(s)	Chrysotile	Verge Undercloal	k 3	Very Low Risk	1		No Action Required	T 2
19/10/04	6	1675	6	Roof level	Loft space	Chrysotile	Roofing felt	2	Very Low Risk	2		No Action Required	T 2


SECTION TEN

MATERIAL ASSESSMENT (PHOTO)

Material Assessment Record

Action:

Location ID: 1670 Survey Type: T 2 Location Ref: 1 Product Type: Asbestos Insulating Board Product: Beam cladding Damage: No visible damage Area: Not Applicable Treatment: AIB painted or encapsulated Floor: Ground floor Asbestos Type: Amosite Room: Bar area Identification: Presumed Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers Quantity: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Asbestos ? Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005 Project Number: AS04180 - Horse & Groom Material Risk Score 5 Material Risk Band: Low Risk Priority Risk Score: 5	Oito / taai ooo.	ne Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge		lient Name:	Hall & WOO	oanouse Lta
Location Ref: 1 Product Type: Asbestos Insulating Board Product: Beam cladding Damage: No visible damage Area: Not Applicable Treatment: AIB painted or encapsulated Floor: Ground floor Asbestos Type: Amosite Room: Bar area Identification: Presumed Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers Quantity: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Asbestos ? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005	П	ampsmie, SP6 ZAS	Р	roject Number:	AS04180 - H	orse & Groom
Location Ref: 1 Product Type: Asbestos Insulating Board Product: Beam cladding Damage: No visible damage Area: Not Applicable Treatment: AIB painted or encapsulated Floor: Ground floor Asbestos Type: Amosite Room: Bar area Identification: Presumed Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers Quantity: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Asbestos ? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005						
Product: Beam cladding Damage: No visible damage Area: Not Applicable Treatment: AlB painted or encapsulated Floor: Ground floor Asbestos Type: Amosite Room: Bar area Identification: Presumed Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers Quantity: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Material Risk Score Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Location ID:	1670	Survey Type:		T 2	
Area: Not Applicable Treatment: AlB painted or encapsulated Floor: Ground floor Asbestos Type: Amosite Room: Bar area Identification: Presumed Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers Quantity: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Asbestos ? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005	Location Ref:	1	Product Type:	A	sbestos Insulating B	oard
Floor: Ground floor Asbestos Type: Amosite Room: Bar area Identification: Presumed Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers Quantity: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005	Product:	Beam cladding	Damage:		No visible damage)
Room: Bar area Identification: Presumed Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers Quantity: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005 Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	All	3 painted or encapsu	ılated
Surveyor Name: Drawing Ref: 1 Accessibility: Asbestos? Pate: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: Accessibility: Medium Accessibility: Medium Accessibility: Material Risk Score Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Floor:	Ground floor	Asbestos Type	ə :	Amosite	
Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility: Material Risk Score 5 Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Room:	Bar area	Identification:		Presumed	
Asbestos ? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005 Material Risk Score 5 Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005 Material Risk Score 5 Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Drawing Ref:	1	Accessibility:		Medium Accessibili	ty
Date: 19 October 2004 Next Inspection: 20 April 2005 Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Asbestos ?	Yes				
Next Inspection: 20 April 2005 Material Risk Band: Low Risk	Date:	19 October 2004		Materi	al Risk Score	5
		20 April 2005		Materi	al Risk Band:	Low Risk
		·		Priorit	y Risk Score:	5



Apply Warning Labels

Material		
Comments:		

Material Assessment Record Hall & Woodhouse Ltd Client Name: Site Address: The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS Project Number: AS04180 - Horse & Groom T 2 Location ID: 1671 Survey Type: Location Ref: 2 **Product Type: NADIS** Product: Stair Backing / Cladding Damage: **NADIS** Not Applicable Treatment: **NADIS** Area: Ground floor NADIS Floor: Asbestos Type: Identified Bar area Identification: Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: Quantity: 2 Drawing Ref: Accessibility: Asbestos? No Material Risk Score 0 19 October 2004 Date: Material Risk Band: **NADIS** Next Inspection: Not Applicable Priority Risk Score: N/A Action: No Action Required No Picture Available Material Comments:

Material Assessment Record

Site Address: The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,

Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

T 2 Location ID: 1672 Survey Type: Location Ref: 3 **Product Type:** Ropes and woven textiles Product: Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard Damage: No visible damage Not Applicable Treatment: Composite asbestos materials Area: Ground floor Chrysotile Floor: Asbestos Type: Electrical cupboard Presumed Identification: Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: Quantity: Medium Accessibility Accessibility:

3 Drawing Ref:

Asbestos? Yes

19 October 2004 Date:

Next Inspection: 19 October 2005 Material Risk Score


3

Material Risk Band:

Very Low Risk

Priority Risk Score:

Action: **Apply Warning Labels**



Material Comments:

Material Assessment Record

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd Client Name: The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Site Address: Hampshire, SP6 2AS Project Number: AS04180 - Horse & Groom 1673 Survey Type: T 2 Location ID: Location Ref: 4 **Product Type:** Gaskets Product: Boiler Damage: No visible damage Not Applicable Treatment: Composite asbestos materials Area: Ground floor Chrysotile Floor: Asbestos Type: Kitchen Presumed Identification: Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: Quantity: Medium Accessibility Drawing Ref: Accessibility: Asbestos? Yes Material Risk Score 3 19 October 2004 Date: Material Risk Band: Very Low Risk Next Inspection: 19 October 2005 Priority Risk Score:





Material Comments:		

Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fording Hampshire, SP6 2AS		ient Name.	Tiali & WOO	illouse Liu
	Tamponiio, 61 6 2 16		oject Number:	AS04180 - Horse & Groom	
Location ID:	1674	Survey Type:		Т3	
Location Ref:	5	Product Type:		NADIS	
Product:	Heat Shield	Damage:		NADIS	
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:		NADIS	
Floor:	Ground floor	Asbestos Type	:	NADIS	
Room:	Kitchen	Identification:		Identified	
Surveyor Nan	ne: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	5	Accessibility:			
Asbestos ?	No				
Date:	04 October 2007		Material	Risk Score	0
Next Inspection	nn: Not Applicable		Material	Risk Band:	NADIS
om mopoon			Priority	Risk Score:	4
Action:		No Action Re	auired		



Material Comments:			
Comments:			

Material Assessment Record

Action:

		Cli	ent Name:	Hall & Wo	odhouse Ltd
	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge Hampshire, SP6 2AS	9,			
		Pro	oject Number:	AS04180 - F	Horse & Groom
Location ID:	1675	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	6	Product Type:		Roofing felts	
Product:	Roofing felt	Damage:		No visible damag	е
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:		Resins	
Floor:	Roof level	Asbestos Type:		Chrysotile	
Room:	Loft space	Identification:		Presumed	
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	6	Accessibility:		Difficult Accessibil	lity
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	19 October 2004		Materi	ial Risk Score	2
Next Inspection	n: 19 October 2005		Materi	ial Risk Band:	Very Low Risk
•			Priorit	y Risk Score:	2



No Action Required

Material Comments:			
Comments:			

Material Assessment Record

Action:

	orse and Groom, Wood Green, Fording		Client Name:		oanouse Lta
Паттря	Tille, SF 0 2A3	Proje	ct Number:	AS04180 - H	Horse & Groom
Location ID:	1676	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	7	Product Type:		Roofing felts	
Product:	Roofing felt	Damage:		No visible damag	е
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:		Resins	
Floor:	External ground floor	Asbestos Type:		Chrysotile	
Room:	Sheds / Outbuildings	Identification:		Presumed	
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	7	Accessibility:	N	ledium Accessibi	lity
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	19 October 2004		Materia	Risk Score	2
Next Inspection:	19 October 2005		Materia	I Risk Band:	Very Low Risk
,			Priority	Risk Score:	2



No Action Required

Material Comments:

Material Assessment Record

Action:

r			Client Name:	Hall & Wo	oodhouse Ltd
Oito / taai ooo.	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS				
			Project Number:	AS04180 - I	Horse & Groom
Location ID:	1677	Survey Type:	:	T 2	
Location Ref:	8	Product Type	e:	Asbestos cemer	nt
Product:	Soffit	Damage:		No visible damag	je
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	As	Asbestos cement sheets etc	
Floor:	Roof level	Asbestos Typ	oe:	Chrysotile	
Room:	External	Identification	:	Presumed	
Surveyor Nam	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	8	Accessibility:		Difficult Accessibi	lity
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	19 October 2004		Mater	rial Risk Score	3
Next Inspection	n: 19 October 2005		Mater	rial Risk Band:	Very Low Risk
	···		Priori	ty Risk Score:	1



No Action Required

Material Comments:		

Cito Address.	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fording	phridae	Client Name:	Hall & Woodnouse Ltd	
	Hampshire, SP6 2AS		Project Number:	AS04180 - Horse & Groom	
ļ			r roject ramber.	7.001.00	
Location ID:	1678	Survey Type	э:	T 2	
Location Ref:	9	Product Typ	e:	Asbestos cemer	nt
Product:	Verge Undercloak	Damage:		No visible damag	je
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	A	sbestos cement she	ets etc
Floor:	Roof level	Asbestos Ty	уре:	Chrysotile	
Room:	Garage(s)	Identification	n:	Identified	
Surveyor Nam	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	9	Accessibility	<i>r</i> .	Medium Accessib	ility
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	19 October 2004		Mate	rial Risk Score	3
Next Inspection	n: 19 October 2005		Mate	rial Risk Band:	Very Low Risk
•			Priori	ty Risk Score:	1
Action:		No Action	Required		



Material Comments:		
Comments:		

Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbo		Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	
<u> </u>	Hampshire, SP6 2AS	Projec	ct Number:	AS04180 - Hor	se & Groom
Location ID:	8615	Survey Type:		T 3	
Location Ref:	10	Product Type:	NADIS		
Product:	Roofing felt	Damage:	NADIS		
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	NADIS		
Floor:	External ground floor	Asbestos Type:	NADIS		
Room:	Kitchen	Identification:	Identified		
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	10	Accessibility:			
Asbestos ?	No				
Date:	04 October 2007		Material	Risk Score	0
Next Inspection	n: Not Applicable		Material	Risk Band:	NADIS
,	L		Priority	Risk Score:	N/A
Action:		No Action Require	ed		



Material Comments:		
Comments:		

	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS		nt Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	
		Proj	ect Number:	AS04180 - Ho	rse & Groom
Location ID:	8616	Survey Type:		Т3	
Location Ref:	11	Product Type:	NADIS		
Product:	Roof Tiles	Damage:	NADIS		
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	NADIS		
Floor:	External ground floor	Asbestos Type:	NADIS		
Room:	Kitchen	Identification:	Identified		
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	11	Accessibility:			
Asbestos ?	No				
Date:	04 October 2007			Risk Score	0
Next Inspection	Not Applicable		Material	Risk Band:	NADIS
			Priority I	Risk Score:	N/A
Action:		No Action Regu	uired		



Material Comments:		

SECTION ELEVEN

BULK IDENTIFICATION REPORT

BULK IDENTIFICATION REPORT

Client:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd		Between 19/10/2004 and 04/10/2007
Client Address:	The Brewery, Blandford St Mary, Dorset, DT11 9LS	Date Samples Analysed:	08/10/2007
Site Address:	The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP	6 2AS	
F.A.O:	Tim Jones		Page 1 of 1

METHOD USED:

Samples of material referenced below, have been examined to determine the presence of asbestos fibres, using a method of polarising light microscopy and centre stop dispersion staining, based on H.S.E,s MDHS 77. NOTE: We cannot be held responsib for the accuracy and competence of samples taken by third parties. Under these circumstances we cannot be held responsible for the interpretation of the results shown.

	Location ID	Sample Location	Fibre Type
2	1671	Ground floor, Bar area, Stair Backing / Cladding	NADIS
5	1674	Ground floor, Kitchen, Heat Shield	NADIS
9	1678	Roof level, Garage(s), Verge Undercloak	Chrysotile
10	8615	External ground floor, Kitchen, Roofing felt	NADIS
11	8616	External ground floor, Kitchen, Roof Tiles	NADIS

REPORT RAISED BY:	
Signed:	Print:



SECTION TWELVE

PRIORITY ASSESSMENT: SUMMARY BY AREA

Priority Assessment: Summary by Area

Site Name:

The Horse and Groom

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Area:	Not Applicabl	е
-------	---------------	---

Sample Date	Location Ref	Location ID	Drawing Reference	Floor	Room	Comments	Normal Occupant Activity	Likelihood Of Disturbance	Human Exposure Potential	Maintenance Activity	Risk Score
19/10/04	7	1676	7	External ground floor	Sheds / Outbuildings		0	1	0	1	2
19/10/04	1	1670	1	Ground floor	Bar area		1	1	2	1	5
19/10/04	3	1672	3	Ground floor	Electrical cupboard		0	1	1	1	3
19/10/04	4	1673	4	Ground floor	Kitchen		0	1	2	1	4
19/10/04	5	1674	5	Ground floor	Kitchen		0	1	2	1	4
19/10/04	8	1677	8	Roof level	External		0	0	0	1	1
19/10/04	9	1678	9	Roof level	Garage(s)		0	0	0	1	1
19/10/04	6	1675	6	Roof level	Loft space		0	1	0	1	2

SECTION THIRTEEN

PRIORITY ASSESSMENT RECORD

Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1670 Location Ref: Beam cladding Product: Not Applicable Area: Ground floor Floor: Bar area Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 1 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Low disturbance	1	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	1
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Large rooms or well-ventilated areas	1	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	<=10 m2 or <=10 m pipe run	1	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	>10	3	
Frequency of use of area:	Daily	3	average
Average time area is in use:	>1 to <3 hours	1	2
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		5
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Low Risk	5
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		10

Priority Assessment: Page 1 of



Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1672 3 Location Ref: Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard Product: Not Applicable Area: Ground floor Floor: Room: Electrical cupboard J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 3 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Rooms up to 100 m2	2	
Accessibility:	Occasionally likely to be disturbed	1	average
Extent/Amount:	Small items strings gaskets	0	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	1 to 3	1	
Frequency of use of area:	Monthly	1	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	1
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		3
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		6

Priority Assessment: Page 2 of



Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1673 4 Location Ref: Boiler Product: Not Applicable Area: Ground floor Floor: Room: Kitchen J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 4 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004 B/10/2004

Priority Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Large rooms or well-ventilated areas	1	
Accessibility:	Occasionally likely to be disturbed	1	average
Extent/Amount:	Small items strings gaskets	0	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	1 to 3	1	
Frequency of use of area:	Daily	3	average
Average time area is in use:	>3 to <6 hours	2	2
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		4
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		7

Priority Assessment: Page 3 of



Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1674 5 Location Ref: Heat Shield Product: Not Applicable Area: Ground floor Floor: Kitchen Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 5 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? No Date: 19 October 2004 19/10/2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Large rooms or well-ventilated areas	1	
Accessibility:	Occasionally likely to be disturbed	1	average
Extent/Amount:	<=10 m2 or <=10 m pipe run	1	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	1 to 3	1	
Frequency of use of area:	Daily	3	average
Average time area is in use:	>3 to <6 hours	2	2
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		4
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	NADIS	0
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		4

Priority Assessment: Page 4 of



Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1675 6 Location Ref: Roofing felt Product: Not Applicable Area: Roof level Floor: Room: Loft space J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 6 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Large rooms or well-ventilated areas	1	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	>50 m2 or >50 m pipe run	3	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	1 to 3	1	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		2
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	2
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		4

sessment: Page 5 of

Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1676 7 Location Ref: Roofing felt Product: Not Applicable Area: External ground floor Floor: Sheds / Outbuildings Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 7 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 19 October 2004

19/10/2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Outdoors	0	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	>10 to <=50 (m2 or pipe run)	2	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	1 to 3	1	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		2
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	2
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		4

Priority Assessment: Page 6 of



Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1677 8 Location Ref: Soffit Product: Not Applicable Area: Roof level Floor: External Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 8 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes 19 October 2004 Date:

19/10/2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Outdoors	0	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	<=10 m2 or <=10 m pipe run	1	0
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	1 to 3	1	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		1
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		4

Priority Assessment: Page 7 of



Priority Assessment Record

Site Address:

The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge, Hampshire, SP6 2AS

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04180 - Horse & Groom

Location ID: 1678 9 Location Ref: Verge Undercloak Product: Not Applicable Area: Roof level Floor: Garage(s) Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 9 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes 19 October 2004 Date:

1, 10 200

Priority
Comments:

19 October 2004

riority Assessment Algorithm
ssessment factor

Variable(s) selected

Priority Assessment Algorithm Score for Assessment factor Overall each score Normal Occupant Activity: Main type of activity in area: Rare disturbance 0 average Rare disturbance 0 0 Secondary activities for area: Likelihood Of Disturbance: Location: Outdoors 0 Accessibility: Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed 0 average Extent/Amount: <=10 m2 or <=10 m pipe run 1 0 Human Exposure Potential: Number of occupants: 1 to 3 1 Frequency of use of area: 0 average Infrequent Average time area is in use: <1 hour 0 0 Maintenance Activity: average Type of maintenance activity: Minor disturbance 0 Frequency of maintenance activity <=1 per year 1 1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		1
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		4

Priority Assessment: Page 8 of



SECTION FOURTEEN

EXCLUDED AREAS

Excluded Areas

1 Managers flat

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	S04180 - Horse & Groom
		Survey Date:	19 October 2004
Site Address:	Site Address: The Horse and Groom, Wood Green, Fordingbridge,		09 October 2007
Hampshire, SP6 2AS		Excluded Areas:	Page 1 of 1

