# **Asbestos Survey for**

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

# at

The Barn Owl Inn Aller Mills Kingkerswell Newton Abbot Devon TQ12 5AN



Project Number: AS04162



### **Names and Addresses**

<u>Client Name:</u> <u>Instructing Party:</u>

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd Peter Gunning and Partners

The Brewery 17 & 18 North Square

Blandford St Mary Dorchester

Dorset DT11 9LS DT1 1HY

Contact: Contact:

Phone: 01258 452141 Fax: Phone: 01305 251905 Fax: 01305 262526

<u>Site Full Name:</u> <u>Report Author:</u>

The Barn Owl Inn JTEC Environmental Ltd.

Aller Mills Tansley Cottage, Shave Lane,

Kingkerswell Todber,

Newton Abbot Sturminster Newton,

Devon Dorset.
TQ12 5AN DT10 1JA

Contact: Contact: John Chilvers

Phone: 01803 872130 Fax: Surveyor

Phone: 01258 821398 Fax: 01258 821398

 JTEC Environmental Ltd.
 Project Number: AS04162

 Survey Date: 20 September 2004
 20 September 2004

 Printed On: 30 April 2012
 Page: Page 1 of 1



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION	1	Site Description
SECTION	2	Survey Drawings
SECTION	3	Survey Objectives
SECTION	4	Survey Techniques
SECTION	5	Survey Caveat
SECTION	6	Survey Notes
SECTION	7	Survey Summary
SECTION	8	Survey Recommendations
SECTION	9	Material Assessment: Summary by Area
SECTION	10	Material Assessment (Photo)
SECTION	11	Bulk Identification Report
SECTION	12	Priority Assessment: Summary by Area
SECTION	13	Priority Assessment (Photo)



# SECTION ONE

# SITE DESCRIPTION

## **Site Description**

#### **General Information:**

The main building is believed to date from c.1700 or possibly earlier and is of stone construction. There have been several improvement works undertaken, the most recent of which, according to the drawing supplied by the client, took place in 2000.

There is very little evidence of ACM's (asbestos containing materials) being present excepting the tiles on the roof.

Area	Comments	Acc	essed
Main building	Samples taken & presumed asbestos materials present, asbestos materials present in samples.		Yes

	_		
Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn. Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Site Description:	Page 1 of 1





# SECTION TWO


# SURVEY DRAWINGS



**Survey Drawings and Documentation** 

Project Number:

AS04162




**Description of Drawing:** 



**Survey Drawings and Documentation** 

Project Number:

AS04162



Description of Drawing:

# SECTION THREE

# SURVEY OBJECTIVES

## **Survey Objectives**

- Produce a report, in a database format, indicating areas containing identified and suspected asbestos based materials, including photographic records of asbestos occurences where possible.
- 2 To carry out a survey to ascertain the presence of asbestos based materials.
- 3 To include a risk assessment for each individual Sample.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Survey Objectives:	Page 1 of 1



# SECTION FOUR

# SURVEY TECHNIQUE

### **Survey Technique**

- 1 Materials of a similar type were only occasionally sampled and it was assumed that other surfaces identical to where the sample was taken, was of a similar composition.
- 2 Photographs were taken at all of the sample locations (unless otherwise stated).
- 3 Samples were returned to the Main Laboratory for analysis.
- Asbestos Bulk Sample Analysis is conducted by using Polarised Light and Dispersion Staining Techniques. Dispersion Staining is used to describe the colour effects produced when a transparent colourless particle or fibre is immersed in a liquid having a refractive index near to that of the particle or fibre, and is viewed under a microscope using transmitted white light (based on HSE Publication MDHS 77).

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Survey Technique:	Page 1 of 1



# SECTION FIVE

# **SURVEY CAVEAT**

### **Survey Caveat**

This report is based upon a non-destructive inspection of an unfamiliar site. During the course of the survey all reasonable efforts were made to identify the physical presence of materials containing asbestos within the areas of the building which are subject to future refurbishment works. It is known that asbestos materials are frequently concealed within the fabric of buildings or within sealed building voids so that it is not possible to regard the findings of any survey as being definitive. It must always remain a possibility that further asbestos containing materials may be found during refurbishment or demolition activities. For reasons set out in this report, the results cannot give an assurance that all asbestos materials have been found and must not be thought to do so. The nature of the survey was a non-destructive inspection at key locations of accessible voids and areas. From the evidence of the inspections and of the sampling and analysis undertaken, it is clear that asbestos containing materials are either present or within or associated with various areas as detailed in the report. We recommend that samples be taken of suspect materials which may be uncovered within the listed areas or within the areas of the site which were not included in this survey.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Survey Caveat:	Page 1 of 1



# SECTION SIX

# **SURVEY NOTES**

## **Survey Notes**

- Whilst every effort was made to locate the ceiling panels, wall partitions and other panels, which may have been constructed from asbestos boarding, none other than those detailed were found. Some may have been missed due to repairs, alterations etc, where false and other finishes have been applied or where different specifications (including a possible mixture of asbestos and non-asbestos) panels have been used in the same area. Only by sampling each panel would the composition of all the materials be known. This was clearly not practical in terms of cost or time.
- 2 No air monitoring was carried out whilst the survey was undertaken and therefore care was taken not to cause disturbance of fibre or contamination of clean surfaces.
- This report has been written with reference to the various Guidance Notes etc, issued, and current at the date of this report and describes circumstances at the site on the date the investigation took place.
- Where similar items exist in the building, only one or two samples have been taken to ascertain the material content. It was assumed that similar products were of the same material. Only random sampling was carried out.
- Any person undertaking work within the buildings should be told of the presence of asbestos. This briefing also applies to any other person associated with the site, including staff, sub-contractors and others.
- The diagrams in the report are not to scale and are illustrative only to indicate approximate locations. The descriptions used are for location identification purposes
- All the recommendations described in this report are based upon assumptions made after consideration of the type of material, condition of the material, its location, analysis result and type of use the area is thought to be subjected to. However, statutory authorities or others, could require amendments based on local knowledge, change in legislation, change in use or indeed, other conditions of criteria.
- 8 Equipment, machinery, ducting etc were not moved, opened up or examined for the purpose of this investigation except in the odd occasion where hatches were available.
- It should be presumed that any firedoors contain asbestos unless otherwise indicated. It should also be presumed that structural firebreaks exist in the vicinity of a firedoor which are also likely to contain asbestos unless otherwise indicated.
  - In the event of damage or exposure of fireproof material within any fire door, separate samples should be taken and identified accordingly.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Survey Notes:	Page 1 of 1



# SECTION SEVEN

# **SURVEY SUMMARY**

### **Survey Summary**

- 1 For positive identification of asbestos bearing materials please refer to the individual sample data sheets.
- Due to the nature of usage of the buildings surveyed, sampling was restricted / limited in certain areas for both health and safety reasons and food hygiene reasons. Consequently where items are reported as suspected asbestos materials, these items should be treated as such until otherwise identified.
- All sample reference numbers and photograph reference numbers have been annotated on the accompanying plans, whether asbestos materials or not. This is for clarification where non-asbestos materials may be difficult to identify. However it must be stressed that if during refurbishment / maintenance work a suspect material is identified which is not included in this register, disturbance should be stopped prior to identification.
- This register has been compiled so as to allow information to be updated with relative ease. Any work involving the removal of asbestos materials identified within this register should be recorded and the information updated accordingly.
- Although work with asbestos cement does not necessarily require a licensed contractor it is recommended that all work involving the disturbance of any asbestos material is undertaken using contractors licensed by the HSE. Although asbestos cement is a relatively low risk material, it must not be interfered with in any way; e.g. cutting, drilling etc. as this may lead to raised airborne fibre levels. Where possible / practicable asbestos cement materials should be labelled appropriately. If asbestos cement materials are damaged or in areas where abrasion is likely, they should be encapsulated or removed.
- All Asbestos Insulation Board should be encapsulated and labelled. Where insulation board is likely to sustain damage it should be removed. All work involving the disturbance of Asbestos insulation board must be undertaken by a licensed contractor, this includes drilling, cutting, encapsulating etc. Where debris has been identified, access to the area should be restricted prior to decontamination or removal.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Survey Summary:	Page 1 of 1



# SECTION EIGHT

# SURVEY RECOMMENDATIONS

### **Survey Recommendations**

#### 1 Material Assessment and Algorithm

The material assessment is an assessment of the condition of the ACM, or the presumed ACM, and the likelihood of it releasing fibres in the event of it being disturbed in some way. This material assessment will give a good initial guide to the priority for management, as it will identify the materials, which will most readily release airborne fibres if disturbed. However, there are other factors to take into account when prioritising action. MDHS100 recommends the use of an algorithm to carry out the material assessment, and contains an example. The algorithm is a numerical way of taking into account several influencing factors, giving each factor considered a score. These scores can then be totaled to give a material assessment score. The use of algorithms is not infallible, but the assessment process is clear for all to see, so if discrepancies arise, it should be possible to track back through the assessment process to find the root of the error. The algorithm shown in MDHS100 considers four parameters that determine the risk from ACM: that is the ability to release fibres if disturbed. These four parameters are:

Product type; Extent of damage; Surface treatment; and Asbestos type

Each of the parameters is scored and added to give a total score between 2 and 12:

Materials with scores of 10 or more should be regarded as high risk with a significant potential to release fibres if disturbed:

Those with a score between 7 and 9 are regarded as medium risk;

Materials with a score between 5 and 6 are low risk; and

Scores of 4 or less are very low risk.

#### PRIORITY ASSESSMENT AND ALGORITHM

The material assessment identifies the high-risk materials, that is, those which will most readily release airborne fibres if disturbed. It does not automatically follow that those materials assigned the highest score in the material assessment will be the materials that should be given priority for remedial action. Management priority must be determined by carrying out a risk assessment which will also take into account factors such as:

Maintenance activity; Occupant activity; Likelihood of disturbance; Human exposure potential.

THE RISK ASSESSMENT INCLUDES A MATERIAL ASSESSMENT AND A PRIORITY ASSESSMENT.

THE MATERIAL ASSESSMENT LOOKS AT THE TYPE AND CONDITION OF THE ACM AND THE EASE WITH WHICH IT WILL RELEASE FIBRES IF DISTURBED.

THE PRIORITY ASSESSMENT LOOKS AT THE LIKELIHOOD OF SOMEONE DISTURBING THE ACM.

The risk assessment can only be carried out with detailed knowledge of all the above. Although a surveyor may

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Recommendation:	Page 1 of 3





## **Survey Recommendations**

have some of the information which will contribute to the risk assessment and may be part of an assessment team, you, as the duty holder under CAW, are required to make the risk assessment, using the information given in the survey report and your detailed knowledge of the activities carried out within your premises. The risk assessment will form the basis of the management plan, so it is important that it is accurate.

#### MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY

The first and most important factor which must be taken into consideration is the level of maintenance activity likely to be taking place in an area. Maintenance trades such as plumbers and electricians are the group who the duty to manage is primarily trying to protect. There are two types of maintenance activity, planned and unplanned. Planned work can be assessed and carried out using procedures and controls to reduce exposure to asbestos. Unplanned work requires the situation to be dealt with as found and the controls that can be applied may be more limited. The frequency of maintenance activities also need to be taken into account in deciding what management action is appropriate.

#### OCCUPANT ACTIVITY

The activities carried out in an area will have an impact on the risk assessment. When carrying out a risk assessment the main type of use of an area and the activities taking place within it should be taken into account. For example a little used storeroom or an attic will rarely be accessed and so any asbestos is unlikely to be disturbed. At the other end of the scale, in a warehouse lined with asbestos insulating board panels, with frequent vehicular movements, the potential for disturbance of ACMs is reasonably high and this would be a significant factor in the risk assessment. As well as the normal everyday activities taking place in an area, any secondary activities will need to be taken into account.

#### LIKELIHOOD OF DISTURBANCE

The two factors that will determine the likelihood of disturbance are the extent or amount of the ACM and its accessibility/vulnerability. For example, asbestos soffits outdoors are generally inaccessible without the use of ladders or scaffolding, are unlikely to be disturbed. The asbestos cement roof of a hospital ward is also unlikely to be disturbed, but its extent would need to be taken into account in any risk assessment. However if the same ward had asbestos panels on the walls they would be much more likely to be disturbed by trolley/bed movements.

#### **HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL**

The human exposure potential depends on three factors: the number of occupants of an area, the frequency of use of the area, and the average time each area is in use. For example, a school boiler room is likely to be unoccupied, but may be visited daily for a few minutes. The potential for exposure is much less than say in a classroom lined with asbestos insulating board panelling, which is occupied daily for six hours by 30 pupils and a teacher.

#### PRIORITY ASSESSMENT ALGORITHMS

Taking all these factors into account in a logical, consistent manner is difficult. Using an algorithm will help you to produce priority assessments that have taken the factors into account in a consistent way. The number of factors relevant at any one site needs to be carefully considered, as the more factors included in an algorithm, the lower the influence of the most important risk factors becomes, and this may produce anomalies. For this reason it is recommended that the number of factors that are scored is limited to four, the same as the number of factors in the material assessment. There is no single set of factors that can be recommended that will apply equally to all types of premises. Therefore four general headings have been used and one or more factors can be taken into account and averaged under each heading to suit the circumstances. If you choose to use more than one factor under a general heading, then average the scores under that heading, rounding up where necessary.

Client Name	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address	: The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Recommendation:	Page 2 of 3





### **Survey Recommendations**

The scores from the material assessment (i.e. the condition of the ACM or presumed ACM) are added to the scores of the priority assessment (the likelihood of disturbance), to give the overall risk assessment. Risk assessment scores for different ACMs can then be compared to develop your action plan. In many circumstances the scores will be similar, making decisions more difficult. For example a boiler house with asbestos pipe work insulation in poor condition may get the same or similar risk assessment score to an office with asbestos insulating board in reasonably good condition. This is simply because the ACM in the boiler house received a higher score than the ACM in the office because the ACM in the boiler house was in poor condition. However, the priority assessment for the office will get a higher score than the boiler house since the office is occupied more often. Add the scores together for the material and priority assessments, and you get similar scores. If this is the case then you may decide that the office needs doing first because it is used daily. On the other hand you may decide that the poor condition of the ACM in the boiler house means that it should be done first. If the office was a classroom, the young age of the occupants may be a deciding factor. Algorithms are provided to help you, but they are best guesses and will often require you to make your own additional judgements.

Client Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Project Number:	AS04162
		Survey Date:	20 September 2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton	Printed On:	30 April 2012
	Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN	Recommendation:	Page 3 of 3



# SECTION NINE

MATERIAL ASSESSMENT: SUMMARY BY AREA

### **Material Assessment: Summary by Area**

Site Name:

The Barn Owl Inn

Project Number:

AS04162

Area: Not Applicable	е
----------------------	---

Sample Date	Location Ref	Location ID	Drawing Reference	Floor	Room	Asbestos Type	Product Name	Material Risk Score	Material Risk Band	Priority Risk Score	Comments	Action	Survey Type
20/09/04	6	1180	6	External	External	Chrysotile	Gasket	3	Very Low Risk	1		Apply Warning Labels	T 2
20/09/04	7	1181	7	External	Roof	Chrysotile	Roofing felt	2	Very Low Risk	2		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	8	1182	8	First floor	Boiler cupboard	NADIS	Floor covering	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	10	1184	10	First floor	Boiler cupboard	NADIS	Boiler Insulating Plinth	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	12	1186	12	First floor	Corridor	NADIS	Ceiling	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	11	1185	11	First floor	Linen Cupboard / Store	NADIS	Door panel	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	1	1175	1	Ground floor	Bar area	NADIS	Door panel	0	NADIS	N/A		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	3	1177	3	Ground floor	Bar area	Amosite	Beam cladding	5	Low Risk	5	All beams within the building ar presumed to be clad with ACM's until proven otherwise.	Apply Warning Labels	T 2
20/09/04	4	1178	4	Ground floor	Bar area	Chrysotile	Ceiling	2	Very Low Risk	4		Apply Warning Labels	T 2
20/09/04	5	1179	5	Ground floor	Corridor	Chrysotile	Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard	3	Very Low Risk	4		Apply Warning Labels	T 2
20/09/04	2	1176	2	Ground floor	Electrical cupboard	Chrysotile	Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard	3	Very Low Risk	2		Apply Warning Labels	T 2
20/09/04	14	1188	14	Roof	External	Chrysotile	Roof Tiles	3	Very Low Risk	2		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	13	1187	13	Roof level	External	Chrysotile	Verge Undercloa	k 4	Very Low Risk	1		No Action Required	T 2
20/09/04	9	1183	9	Roof level	Loft space	Chrysotile	Roofing felt	2	Very Low Risk	2		No Action Required	T 2

# SECTION TEN

# MATERIAL ASSESSMENT (PHOTO)

### **Material Assessment Record**

	he Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Jevon, TQ12 5AN		Project Number:		AS04162	
Location ID:	1175	Survey T	ype:		T 2	
Location Ref:	1	Product 7	Гуре:		NADIS	
Product:	Door panel	Damage:			NADIS	
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatmer	nt:		NADIS	
Floor:	Ground floor	Asbestos	туре:		NADIS	
Room:	Bar area	Identifica	tion:		Identified	
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:				
Drawing Ref:	1	Accessib	ility:			
Asbestos ?	No					
Date:	20 September 2004			Material	Risk Score:	0
Next Inspection	n: Not Applicable			Material	Risk Band:	NADIS
•	L			Priority R	tisk Score:	N/A
Action:		No Ad	ction Required			



Material Comments:		

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Date:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN Site Address:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd Client Name:

AS04162 Project Number:


Material Risk Score:

Location ID:	1176	Survey Type:	T 2
Location Ref:	2	Product Type:	Ropes and woven textiles
Product:	Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard	Damage:	No visible damage
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	Composite asbestos materials
Floor:	Ground floor	Asbestos Type:	Chrysotile
Room:	Electrical cupboard	Identification:	Presumed
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers	Quantity:	
Drawing Ref:	2	Accessibility:	Medium Accessibility
Asbestos ?	Yes		

Material Risk Band: Very Low Risk 20 September 2005 **Next Inspection:** Priority Risk Score:

Action: Apply Warning Labels

20 September 2004



Material Comments:

#### **Material Assessment Record**

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN Site Address:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd **Client Name:** 

AS04162 **Project Number:** 

1177 T 2 Location ID: Survey Type: Location Ref: 3 **Product Type:** Asbestos Insulating Board Product: Beam cladding Damage: No visible damage Area: Not Applicable Treatment: AIB painted or encapsulated Floor: Ground floor Amosite Asbestos Type: Bar area Presumed Room: Identification: J D Chilvers Quantity: Surveyor Name: 3 Accessibility: Medium Accessibility Drawing Ref:

> Material Risk Score: 5 Material Risk Band: Low Risk

> > Priority Risk Score:

Action: **Apply Warning Labels** 

Yes

20 September 2004

22 March 2005



Material Comments:

Asbestos?

**Next Inspection:** 

Date:

All beams within the building ar presumed to be clad with ACM's until proven otherwise.



#### **Material Assessment Record**

Action:

	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newto Devon, TQ12 5AN		lient Name:	Hall & Wo	oanouse Lta
	5000H, TQT2 07H	F	Project Number:	ASC	)4162
Location ID:	1178	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	4	Product Type:		Decorative finished	es
Product:	Ceiling	Damage:		No visible damag	е
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	Con	mposite asbestos m	aterials
Floor:	Ground floor	Asbestos Typ	e:	Chrysotile	
Room:	Bar area	Identification:		Presumed	
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	4	Accessibility:		Medium Accessibi	lity
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	20 September 2004			ial Risk Score:	2
Next Inspection	20 September 2005		Mater	rial Risk Band:	Very Low Risk
			Priorit	ty Risk Score:	4



Apply Warning Labels

Material Comments:

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

**Client Name:** 

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

1179 T 2 Location ID: Survey Type: Location Ref: 5 **Product Type:** Ropes and woven textiles Product: Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard Damage: No visible damage Area: Not Applicable Treatment: Composite asbestos materials Ground floor Chrysotile Floor: Asbestos Type: Corridor Presumed Room: Identification: J D Chilvers Quantity: Surveyor Name: Medium Accessibility Drawing Ref:

5 Accessibility:

Yes Asbestos?

20 September 2004 Date:

20 September 2005 **Next Inspection:** 

Material Risk Score:

3

Material Risk Band:

Very Low Risk

Priority Risk Score:

Action:

**Apply Warning Labels** 



Material Comments:

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Action:

Oito / taai coo.	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN		ient Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	
		Pr	oject Number:	ASC	04162
Location ID:	1180	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	6	Product Type:		Gaskets	
Product:	Gasket	Damage:		No visible damag	le
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:	Com	posite asbestos m	aterials
Floor:	External	Asbestos Type	:	Chrysotile	
Room:	External	Identification:		Presumed	
Surveyor Name	J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	6	Accessibility:		Medium Accessibi	lity
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	20 September 2004		Materi	al Risk Score:	3
Next Inspection	20 September 2005		Materi	al Risk Band:	Very Low Risk
'			Priority	/ Risk Score:	1



Apply Warning Labels

Material Comments:		
Comments:		

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Action:

	Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, New n, TQ12 5AN	Client Name:		Hall & Wo	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	
5000	11, 19(12 0) (14		Project Numb	per: AS	04162	
Location ID:	1181	Survey Typ	pe:	T 2		
Location Ref:	7	Product Ty	/pe:	Roofing felts		
Product:	Roofing felt	Damage:		No visible damag	e	
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment	:	Resins		
Floor:	External	Asbestos	Гуре:	Chrysotile		
Room:	Roof	Identificati	on:	Presumed		
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers	Quantity:				
Drawing Ref:	7	Accessibili	ty:	Medium Accessibi	lity	
Asbestos ?	Yes					
Date:	20 September 2004		l N	laterial Risk Score:	2	
Next Inspection:	20 September 2005	]	IV	laterial Risk Band:	Very Low Risk	
			P	riority Risk Score:	2	
Action:		No Acti	on Required			



Material Comments:		
Comments:		

#### **Material Assessment Record**

	e Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, New von, TQ12 5AN	Client Name:		Hall & Woodh	ouse Ltd
De	VOII, TQTZ SAIN	Pr	oject Number:	AS0416	32
Location ID:	1182	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	8	Product Type:		NADIS	
Product:	Floor covering	Damage:		NADIS	
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:		NADIS	
Floor:	First floor	Asbestos Type	:	NADIS	
Room:	Boiler cupboard	Identification:		Identified	
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	8	Accessibility:			
Asbestos ?	No				
Date:	20 September 2004	]	Materia	al Risk Score:	0
Next Inspection:	Not Applicable		Materia	al Risk Band:	NADIS
·		J	Priority	Risk Score:	N/A
Action:		No Action Re	equired		



Material Comments:			
Comments:			

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Action:

	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN		ent Name:	Hall & WO	oanouse Lta
	5000H, TQT2 07H	Pro	oject Number:	ASC	)4162
Location ID:	1183	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	9	Product Type:		Roofing felts	
Product:	Roofing felt	Damage:		No visible damag	е
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:		Resins	
Floor:	Roof level	Asbestos Type:		Chrysotile	
Room:	Loft space	Identification:		Presumed	
Surveyor Name	9: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	9	Accessibility:		Medium Accessibi	lity
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	20 September 2004			ial Risk Score:	2
Next Inspection	1: 20 September 2005			ial Risk Band:	Very Low Risk
			Priorit	y Risk Score:	2



No Action Required

Material Comments:

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Cite / taal coo.	rn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, TQ12 5AN		nt Name:	Hall & Wood	house Ltd
Devon,	TQTZ JAN	Proj	ect Number:	AS04	162
Location ID:	1184	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	10	Product Type:		NADIS	
Product:	Boiler Insulating Plinth	Damage:		NADIS	
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:		NADIS	
Floor:	First floor	Asbestos Type:		NADIS	
Room:	Boiler cupboard	Identification:		Identified	
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	10	Accessibility:			
Asbestos ?	No				1
Date:	20 September 2004			Risk Score:	0
Next Inspection:	Not Applicable		Material	Risk Band:	NADIS
			Priority I	Risk Score:	N/A
Action:		No Action Regu	iired		



Material Comments:	

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Action:

	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newto Devon, TQ12 5AN		ent Name:	Hall & Woo	oanouse Lta
	BOVOII, TQTZ SAIN	Pro	oject Number:	ASC	)4162
Location ID:	1185	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	11	Product Type:		NADIS	
Product:	Door panel	Damage:		NADIS	
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment:		NADIS	
Floor:	First floor	Asbestos Type:		NADIS	
Room:	Linen Cupboard / Store	Identification:		Identified	
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	11	Accessibility:			
Asbestos ?	No				
Date:	20 September 2004		Materia	al Risk Score:	0
Next Inspection	n: Not Applicable		Materia	al Risk Band:	NADIS
			Priority	Risk Score:	N/A



No Action Required

Material Comments:		

#### **Material Assessment Record**

	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newto Devon, TQ12 5AN	on Abbot,	Client Name: Hall & W		Hall & Wood	house Ltd
	·		Project N	lumber:	AS04	162
Location ID:	1186	Survey T	ype:		T 2	
Location Ref:	12	Product Type: NADIS		NADIS		
Product:	Ceiling	Damage:		t: NADIS		
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatmer	nt:			
Floor:	First floor	Asbestos Type: NADIS		NADIS		
Room:	Corridor	Identifica	tion:	on: Identified		
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:				
Drawing Ref:	12	Accessib	ility:			
Asbestos ?	No					
Date:	20 September 2004			Material	Risk Score:	0
Next Inspection	n: Not Applicable			Material	Risk Band:	NADIS
•				Priority F	Risk Score:	N/A
Action:		No Ad	ction Required			



Material Comments:	

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Action:

		Client Name: Hall & Woodhouse Ltd		odhouse Ltd	
	Pr	oject Number:	AS	04162	
1187	Survey Type:		T 2		
13	Product Type:		Asbestos cement		
Verge Undercloak	Damage:	Low damage: Broken edge boar		ge boards	
Not Applicable T		Asb	Asbestos cement sheets etc		
Roof level	Asbestos Type	s Type: Chrysotile			
External	Identification:		Identified		
e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:				
13	Accessibility:		Medium Accessib	ility	
Yes					
20 September 2004				4	
n: 20 September 2005		Materi	al Risk Band:	Very Low Risk	
		Priority	/ Risk Score:	1	
	Devon, TQ12 5AN  1187  13  Verge Undercloak  Not Applicable  Roof level  External  e: J D Chilvers  13  Yes  20 September 2004	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN  1187  Survey Type:  13  Product Type:  Verge Undercloak  Damage:  Not Applicable  Treatment:  Roof level  Asbestos Type  External  Identification:  e:  J D Chilvers  Quantity:  13  Accessibility:  Yes  20 September 2004	Project Number:    1187	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN  1187  Survey Type:  13  Product Type:  Asbestos cement Verge Undercloak  Not Applicable  Treatment:  Roof level  Asbestos Type:  External  Identification:  Identified  External  J D Chilvers  Quantity:  13  Accessibility:  Medium Accessibility:  Material Risk Score:  Material Risk Band:	



No Action Required

Material Comments:

#### **Material Assessment Record**

Action:

	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newto Devon, TQ12 5AN		lient Name:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	
2000.11, 1 4 12 57 117			roject Number:	ASO	)4162
Location ID:	1188	Survey Type:		T 2	
Location Ref:	14	Product Type: Asbestos cemen		nt	
Product:	Roof Tiles	Damage:	No visible damage		е
Area:	Not Applicable	Treatment: Asbest		pestos cement sheets etc	
Floor:	Roof	Asbestos Type	pestos Type: Chrysotile		
Room:	External	Identification:		Identified	
Surveyor Name	e: J D Chilvers	Quantity:			
Drawing Ref:	14	Accessibility:		Medium Accessibi	lity
Asbestos ?	Yes				
Date:	20 September 2004			ial Risk Score:	3
Next Inspection	n: 20 September 2005			ial Risk Band: y Risk Score:	Very Low Risk 2



No Action Required

Material Comments:			
Comments:			

# SECTION ELEVEN

# **BULK IDENTIFICATION REPORT**

#### **BULK IDENTIFICATION REPORT**

Client:	Hall & Woodhouse Ltd	Date Samples Received:	20/09/2004
Client Address:	The Brewery, Blandford St Mary, Dorset, DT11 9LS	Date Samples Analysed:	25/09/2004
Site Address:	The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, De	evon, TQ12 5AN	
F.A.O:	Doug Spry		Page 1 of 1

#### **METHOD USED:**

Samples of material referenced below, have been examined to determine the presence of asbestos fibres, using a method of polarising light microscopy and centre stop dispersion staining, based on H.S.E,s MDHS 77. NOTE: We cannot be held responsible for the accuracy and competence of samples taken by third parties. Under these circumstances we cannot be held responsible for the interpretation of the results shown.

	Location ID	Sample Location	Fibre Type
1	1175	Ground floor, Bar area, Door panel	NADIS
8	1182 First floor, Boiler cupboard, Floor covering		NADIS
10	1184	First floor, Boiler cupboard, Boiler Insulating Plinth	NADIS
11	1185	First floor, Linen Cupboard / Store, Door panel	NADIS
12	1186	First floor, Corridor, Ceiling	NADIS
13 1187 Roof level, External, Verge Undercloak Chrysotile		Chrysotile	
14	1188	Roof, External, Roof Tiles	Chrysotile

REPORT RAISED BY:	
Signed:	Print:



# SECTION TWELVE

PRIORITY ASSESSMENT: SUMMARY BY AREA

## **Priority Assessment: Summary by Area**

Site Name:

The Barn Owl Inn

Project Number:

AS04162

Area: Not Applicable

Sample Date	Location Ref	Location ID	Drawing Reference	Floor	Room	Comments	Normal Occupant Activity	Likelihood Of Disturbance	Human Exposure Potential	Maintenance Activity	Risk Score
20/09/04	6	1180	6	External	External		0	0	0	1	1
20/09/04	7	1181	7	External	Roof		0	1	0	1	2
20/09/04	3	1177	3	Ground floor	Bar area		1	1	2	1	5
20/09/04	4	1178	4	Ground floor	Bar area		1	0	2	1	4
20/09/04	5	1179	5	Ground floor	Corridor		0	1	2	1	4
20/09/04	2	1176	2	Ground floor	Electrical cupboard	t	0	1	0	1	2
20/09/04	14	1188	14	Roof	External		0	1	0	1	2
20/09/04	13	1187	13	Roof level	External		0	0	0	1	1
20/09/04	9	1183	9	Roof level	Loft space		0	1	0	1	2

# SECTION THIRTEEN

# PRIORITY ASSESSMENT RECORD

#### **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID: 1176 2 Location Ref: Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard Product: Not Applicable Area: Ground floor Floor: Electrical cupboard Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 2 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 20 September 2004

20/09/2004

Priority Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Confined spaces	3	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	Small items strings gaskets	0	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	None	0	
Frequency of use of area:	Monthly	1	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:	2
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor): Very Low F	Risk 3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:	5

Priority Assessment: Page 1 of 9



#### **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID:	1177
Location Ref:	3
Product:	Beam cladding
Area:	Not Applicable
Floor:	Ground floor
Room:	Bar area
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers
Drawing Ref:	3
Asbestos ?	Yes
Date:	20 September 2004

120/09/2004

Priority Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Low disturbance	1	average
Secondary activities for area:	Low disturbance	1	1
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Large rooms or well-ventilated areas	1	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	<=10 m2 or <=10 m pipe run	1	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	>10	3	
Frequency of use of area:	Daily	3	average
Average time area is in use:	>1 to <3 hours	1	2
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Low disturbance	1	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		5
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor): Low Risk		5
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		10

Priority Assessment: Page 2 of 9



# **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID: 1178 4 Location Ref: Product: Ceiling Not Applicable Area: Ground floor Floor: Bar area Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 4 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 20 September 2004 20/09/2004

Priority Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor		Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Low disturbance	1	average
Secondary activities for area:	Low disturbance	1	1
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Large rooms or well-ventilated areas	1	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	Small items strings gaskets	0	0
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	>10	3	
Frequency of use of area:	Daily	3	average
Average time area is in use:	>1 to <3 hours	1	2
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Low disturbance	1	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		4
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):  Very Low Risk		2
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		6

Priority Assessment: Page 3 of 9



#### **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID: 1179 5 Location Ref: Fuse & Switchgear Flashguard Product: Not Applicable Area: Ground floor Floor: Corridor Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 5 **Drawing Ref:** Asbestos? Yes Date: 20 September 2004

20/09/2004

Priority
Comments:

**Priority Assessment Algorithm** Variable(s) selected Assessment factor Score for Overall each variable score Normal Occupant Activity: Main type of activity in area: Rare disturbance 0 average Secondary activities for area: Rare disturbance 0 0 Likelihood Of Disturbance: Location: Rooms up to 100 m2 2 Accessibility: Occasionally likely to be disturbed average 1 Extent/Amount: Small items strings gaskets 0 1 **Human Exposure Potential:** Number of occupants: 1 to 3 1 Frequency of use of area: 3 average Daily Average time area is in use: >3 to <6 hours 2 2 Maintenance Activity: Type of maintenance activity: Low disturbance average 1 Frequency of maintenance activity: 1 <=1 per year 1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		4
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):  Very Low Risk		3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		7

Priority Assessment: Page 4 of 9



#### **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID: 1180 6 Location Ref: Product: Gasket Not Applicable Area: External Floor: External Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 6 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 20 September 2004

20/09/2004

Priority Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor		Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Outdoors	0	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	Small items strings gaskets	0	0
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	None	0	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Low disturbance	1	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		1
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor): Very Low Risk		3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		4

Priority Assessment: Page 5 of 9



#### **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID:	1181
Location Ref:	7
Product:	Roofing felt
Area:	Not Applicable
Floor:	External
Room:	Roof
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers
Drawing Ref:	7
Asbestos ?	Yes
Date:	20 September 2004

20 49/2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor		Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Outdoors	0	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	>10 to <=50 (m2 or pipe run)	2	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	None	0	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:	
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):  Very Low Risk	
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:	4

Priority Assessment: Page 6 of 9



#### **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

**Project Number:** 

AS04162

Location ID: 1183 9 Location Ref: Product: Roofing felt Not Applicable Area: Roof level Floor: Loft space Room: J D Chilvers Surveyor Name: 9 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 20 September 2004



Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	1 3 1 3 1 5 1 5 1	Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Large rooms or well-ventilated areas	1	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	>10 to <=50 (m2 or pipe run)	2	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	None	0	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

**Priority** 

Comments:

Total Priority Assessment Score:		2
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	2
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		4

Priority Assessment: Page 7 of 9



#### **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID:	1187
Location Ref:	13
Product:	Verge Undercloak
Area:	Not Applicable
Floor:	Roof level
Room:	External
Surveyor Name:	J D Chilvers
Drawing Ref:	13
Asbestos ?	Yes
Date:	20 September 2004

20705/2004

Priority Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor	Variable(s) selected	Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Outdoors	0	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	<=10 m2 or <=10 m pipe run	1	0
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	None	0	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		1
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	4
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		5

Priority Assessment: Page 8 of 9



# **Priority Assessment Record**

Site Address:

The Barn Owl Inn, Aller Mills, Kingkerswell, Newton Abbot, Devon, TQ12 5AN

Client Name:

Hall & Woodhouse Ltd

Project Number:

AS04162

Location ID: 1188 14 Location Ref: Product: **Roof Tiles** Not Applicable Area: Roof Floor: External Room: Surveyor Name: J D Chilvers 14 Drawing Ref: Asbestos? Yes Date: 20 September 2004

20/69/2004

Priority
Comments:

Priority Assessment Algorithm			
Assessment factor		Score for each variable	Overall score
Normal Occupant Activity:			
Main type of activity in area:	Rare disturbance	0	average
Secondary activities for area:	Rare disturbance	0	0
Likelihood Of Disturbance:			
Location:	Outdoors	0	
Accessibility:	Usually inaccessible or unlikely to be disturbed	0	average
Extent/Amount:	>50 m2 or >50 m pipe run	3	1
Human Exposure Potential:			
Number of occupants:	None	0	
Frequency of use of area:	Infrequent	0	average
Average time area is in use:	<1 hour	0	0
Maintenance Activity:			
Type of maintenance activity:	Minor disturbance	0	average
Frequency of maintenance activity:	<=1 per year	1	1

Total Priority Assessment Score:		2
Material Assessment Score (supplied by surveyor):	Very Low Risk	3
Total of Material and Priority Assessment Scores:		5

Priority Assessment: Page 9 of 9

